Child Development Permit Advisory Panel Unapproved Minutes of the January 9, 2017 Meeting

Advisory Panel Members Attending

Deborah Stipek, Chair
Lea Austin
Jan DeLapp
Lucia Garay
Catherine Goins
Joel Gordon
Guillermina Hernandez
Nancy Hurlbut
Mary Jane Maguire-Fong
Elaine Merriweather
Sherri Springer
Kisha Williamson
Julianne Zvalo-Martyn

Item I: Call to Order

Chair Dr. Deborah Stipek opened the January 9 meeting of the Child Development Permit Advisory Panel (CDP AP) at 10:00 a.m. She welcomed the advisory panel and joined Commission on Teacher Credentialing (Commission) Executive Director Mary Vixie Sandy in providing an overview of the meeting objectives which include revisiting and finalizing any recommendations the panel might have for changes to the Child Development Permit Matrix.

Item II: Approval of the Minutes of the September 2016 Meeting

Jan DeLapp moved to approve the September 2016 meeting minutes as presented; Kisha Williamson seconded. Advisory Panel members voted to approve the September 2016 meeting minutes.

Item III: Overview and Discussion of Previous Meeting and Related Interim Activities

Executive Director Sandy briefed the panel on relevant activities that have occurred since its September 2016 meeting including the stakeholder survey process.

Item IV: Public Survey Feedback

CTC staff shared the stakeholder survey with the panel members. Panel members then broke into four small groups to review the survey data and identify key observations and/or questions to bring to the larger group for discussion. During a working lunch, panelists discussed their findings. The panel was then guided through its recommendations related to the Child Development Permit Matrix where they made modifications and provided clarifications to be shared in the final report to the Commission.

Phyllis Jacobson, Commission Administrator, then presented the findings from the stakeholder surveys related to the draft program standards and draft performance expectations, explaining the relationship between the performance expectations and the matrix. The panel reviewed and discussed the survey results. No action was required.

Item V: Public Comment on Matters not Included on the Agenda

Representatives from PEACH voiced their appreciation of the panel's work and its support of the draft performance expectations and draft program standards.

A representative from the Child Development Consortium of LA and Child Development Administrators Association voiced her appreciation of the aspirational tone of the process but also expressed serious concerns around implementing the panel's recommendations, from a provider's point of view. Some of the recommendations will have a huge impact on the field.

CDP AP Chair Stipek adjourned the meeting at 4:00 p.m.